

FILED 02/03/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DEBORAH ELLEN FRISCH,

O R D E R
Civ. No. 09-6126-TC

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF EUGENE, et al.,

Defendants.

AIKEN, Chief Judge:

Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Amended Findings and Recommendation on November 23, 2009. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore

1 Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert.
2 denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

3 Plaintiff, appearing pro se, has timely filed objections.
4 I have, therefore, given the file of this case a de novo review.
5 I ADOPT the Magistrate's Amended Findings and Recommendation
6 (doc. 89) that defendant Lane County's motion to dismiss (doc.
7 21) is granted with prejudice. Defendant Lane County is
8 therefore dismissed as a defendant in this action.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated this 2nd day of February ~~January~~ 2010.

11
12
13 

14 Ann Aiken
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28